## School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2023-24 School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School.	CHECKO CHOR SHARK COLLEGE			
Staff-in-charge:	YIP SAU FAN	Contact Telephone No.:	25706665	

- A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under the Grant is <u>59</u> (including A. <u>2</u> CSSA recipients, B. <u>50</u> SFAS full-grant recipients and C. <u>7</u> under school's discretionary quota).
- B. Information on Activities to be subsidized/complemented by the Grant.

CHEUNG CHUK SHAN COLLEGE

*Name / Type of activity		tual nerticipa eligibl	ting le	Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	C						affective outcome)	
Art Classes	0	9	5	Over 80%	Sept 2023 – Aug 2024	19,065	Teachers' observation	/	/	
Music classes	2	5	3	Over 80%	Sept 2023 – Aug 2024	4,7278.8	Teachers' observation	/	/	
Sports classes	0	7	0	Over 80%	Sept 2023 – Aug 2024	5,850	Teachers' observation	/	/	
Life-wide Learning Day	5	46	0	100%	09 Nov 2023	3,570	Teachers' observation	/	/	
Total no. of activities: 1										
@No. of man-times  **Total no. of man-times		67	8		Total Expenses	75763.8				
		82			Total Expenses	13/03.8				

## Note:

Name of School

<sup>\*</sup> Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

<sup>@</sup> Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

<sup>#</sup> Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA(A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

## C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

		I	nproved		No	Declining	N.A.
	Areas	Significant Modera		Slight	change	Declining	N.A.
Lea	rning Effectiveness						
a)	Students' motivation for learning	✓					
b)	Students' study skills		✓				
c)	Students' academic achievement		✓				
d)	Students' learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e)	Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness	✓					
Per	sonal and Social Development	Г			1	Π	ı
f)	Students' self-esteem	✓					
g)	Students' self-management skills		✓				
h) Students' social skills			✓				
i)	Students' interpersonal skills	✓					
j)	Students' cooperativeness with others		✓				
k)	Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
1)	Students' outlook on life	✓					
m)	Your overall view on students' personal and social development	<b>√</b>					
Co	mmunity Involvement	1			1	Γ	
n)	Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities	✓					
o)	Students' sense of belonging	✓					
p)	Students' understanding on the community		✓				
q)	Your overall view on students' community		✓				
D.	Comments on the project conducted  unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., studifficult to select suitable non-eligible students eligible students unwilling to join the program the quality of service provided by partner/service tutors inexperienced and student management the amount of administrative work leads to appropriate to fulfill the requirements for hand the reporting requirements too complicated and the requirements too complete the requirements to the requirements too complete the requirements to	s to fill the names (Planice proveskills ur parent in adling fur	ne discre ease specider not ider not insatisfac increase conds disb	cify: satisfa tory; on teac ursed l	y quota	vorkloa	
	Others (Please specify):		JIJWIIII	- <b>5</b> ,			